(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
(C.G.)

Single Bench

M.C.C. NO. 51 /2005
(IN SECOND APPEAL NO. 64/2001)

APPELLANTS
PLAINTIFFs

1. Bhago Bai Wd/o. Latelu Sahu aged about 40 years,

2. Biha Bai, wife of Peersan Latel Sahu, aged about 21 years.

3. Pusaiya Bai (Minor), aged about 18 years,

4. Gouri Bai, aged about 16 years,

No. 3 and 4 are minors through their natural guardian mother Bhagobai Wife of Latelu Sahu.

All are resident of Kauhrod, Tah. Baloda Bazar, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 678 Las
Presented by Shri S.K. Gupta
dated 05/03/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1 Hariram, son of Mayaram, aged about 35 years, resident of village Konari, Tah. Baloda Bazar, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. State of Chhattisgarh, through : Collector, Raipur (CG)

RECEIVED COPY
05/3/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

APPLICATION FOR RESTORATION OF SECOND APPEAL NO. 64/2001

The Appellants/Plaintiffs, named above, most humbly and respectfully submits as under:-

XI-HC-22

(14)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक M.C.C.No. 51/2005 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	~~16.12.2005~~	

Shri S.K. Guha, counsel for the applicants.

Heard on M.C.P. NO. 387/2005 for condonation of delay in filing the M.C.C.

For the reasons stated in the application, it is allowed. The delay in filing the appeal is condoned.

This is an application of restoration of Second Appeal No. 64/2001.

Counsel submits that the case was listed on 20-11-2001, but since Shri Alok Aradha, Advocate has not shifted here, therefore the appellant could not be represented and the case was dismissed for non-prosecution.

Since the party should not suffer because of counsel, the application is allowed. The Second Appeal No. 64/2001 is restored to its original number.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathak/-

[पीछे देखिये